Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/814,134	VIGER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	PELING A. SHAW	2444
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<del>_</del>
(1) PELING A. SHAW.	(3)	
(2) John A. Krause (reg. no. 24,613).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 December 2010	Time: 3:07 PM	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant and Examiner have discussed and agreed upon an Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Peling A Shaw/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2444 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)